

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : G02B 21/00, 21/24		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/43820 (43) International Publication Date: 27 July 2000 (27.07.00)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/23123</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 6 October 1999 (06.10.99)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 09/235,215 22 January 1999 (22.01.99) US</p> <p>(63) Related by Continuation (CON) or Continuation-in-Part (CIP) to Earlier Application US 09/235,215 (CON) Filed on 22 January 1999 (22.01.99)</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): Q3DM, LLC [US/US]; Suite 119, 8380 Miramar Mall, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): PRICE, Jeffrey, H. [US/US]; 3652 Torrey View Court, San Diego, CA 92130 (US). BRAVO-ZANOQUERA, Miguel [MX/US]; 4059 C Miramar Street, La Jolla, CA 92037 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agent: MEADOR, Terrance, A.; Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich, LLP, Suite 1700, 410 B Street, San Diego, CA 92101-4297 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIGO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published With international search report.</p> <p>(54) Title: AUTOMATIC ON-THE-FLY FOCUSING FOR CONTINUOUS IMAGE ACQUISITION IN HIGH-RESOLUTION MICROSCOPY</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>The invention provides an apparatus, and related method, for continuous volume imaging a specimen using imaging a plurality of image planes at predetermined focal depths. The focal depth for each image plane is adjustable in accordance with a variety of desired spatial configurations. The images generated at the image planes are processed in parallel and the image corresponding to an optimal focal depth at a specimen location is selected for imaging the specimen at that location. The volume imaging apparatus of the invention allows for rapid scanning of an entire microscope slide at high image resolution.</p>	

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finnland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
RJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

AUTOMATIC ON-THE-FLY FOCUSING FOR CONTINUOUS IMAGE ACQUISITION IN HIGH-RESOLUTION MICROSCOPY

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to microscopic imaging, and more particularly, to simultaneous image scanning at a variety of focal depths for improved automatic focusing during continuous imaging of a microscopic specimen. The invention is advantageous for rapid scanning of an entire microscope slide at high image resolution.

10 The performance of a scanning cytometry system based on optical microscopy depends both on the scanning instrumentation's characteristics and on the specimen's characteristics. The instrumentation performance criteria include: lateral resolution, depth of field, focus range, focus accuracy, focus frequency response, slide scanning rate, photometric sensitivity and dynamic range.

15 Important specimen characteristics include tissue thickness and slide flatness in relationship to the instrument's objective numeric aperture (NA). Biological specimens do not lie in a single focal plane across a microscope slide which complicates automated scanning of the specimen for image cytometry using typically narrow depth-of-field microscope optics.

20 Adapting existing scanning systems to optical microscopy often results in a compromise between scanning speed and image resolution. For example, web inspection systems with time-delay-and-integrate (TDI) sensors are used in automated high speed visual inspection systems to detect and identify defects on continuously moving objects. The object in the field of view of the sensor is

25 assumed to be flat and a 2D image is formed by synchronizing the line frequency of the camera to the web movement. When the web or conveyor is not flat, image quality can be degraded. Therefore, the depth of field is usually made large and carefully adjusted to include the largest variations in axial position. In these applications resolution is not a limiting factor and can be sacrificed to obtain large

30 depths of field and avoid the need for an autofocus system. When web inspection techniques are applied to optical microscopy, as in the macroscopic implementations, large depths of field were ensured by the use of low NA

objectives in order to avoid the need for autofocus. This is appropriate in low resolution imaging over limited areas, but requires depth of fields of on the order of 10 microns (μm) or more over the length of a standard microscope slide. For higher resolution imaging, the depth of field is limited to about 1.0 μm and 5 refocusing at each field of view is required to improve image quality.

Another exemplary scanning system is optical profilometry. In optical profilometry, a point source of light is imaged on the specimen. Best focus is determined essentially by minimum point size and a focus error detection system is used to measure surface topography. A number of different methods are used to 10 produce an error signal proportional to height variations from minimum point size and this error signal is used to servo control best focus. The height variations are then recorded as lens positions during scanning. The data show horizontal resolutions of 1 to 0.01 μm and vertical resolutions of 0.5 to 0.001 μm . However, the surface slopes of the specimen have a significant influence on the 15 measurement accuracy. One Profilometer follows the specimen surface very well at repositioning frequency of 100 hertz (Hz) with specimen height variations up to 0.2 μm . In open loop mode, the objective lens is fixed and the surface height is derived directly from the focus error. Open loop mode increases the measurement frequency, but a servo is required when the axial range is large such as with 20 biological specimens. Since data is gathered one point at a time, this method is slow and apparently has not been applied to semitransparent specimens (like tissues) that can reflect from multiple surfaces.

Accordingly, there exists a definite need for an automatic microscopic scanning system that can rapidly scan, at high resolution, an entire microscope 25 slide containing a biological specimen. The present invention satisfies these needs and provides further related advantages.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is embodied in an imaging apparatus, and related 30 method, for fully automatic, high-speed, high-resolution image cytometry. The imaging apparatus updates the focus many times across a conventional microscope

field of view while moving the stage in a continuous motion at a constant velocity. The improved focus accuracy and allows performance of high resolution scanning image cytometry at high speeds and analysis of an entire microscope slide in several minutes.

5 Other features and advantages of the present invention should become apparent from the following description of the preferred embodiments, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, which illustrate, by way of example, the principles of the invention.

10

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a volume imaging apparatus for continuous imaging of a specimen using imaging faces having adjustable focal depths, according to the invention.

15 FIG. 2 shows, a slide imaged at three locations, of differing cell distributions, with adjacent images and graphs of focus index versus vertical position.

FIG. 3 shows images of a cell's original fluorescence image, processed image, and contour image at optimum focus, at positive defocusing and at negative defocusing.

20 FIG. 4 is a three-dimensional plot of focus function curves for a single one-direction scan.

FIGS. 5A - 5D are schematic diagrams representing a variety of predetermined spacings for the imaging faces, according to the present invention.

25 FIG. 6 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a volume imaging apparatus for continuous imaging of a specimen using imaging faces having adjustable focal depths, according to the invention.

FIG. 7 is an elevation view of the volume imaging apparatus of FIG. 6.

30 FIG. 8 is a perspective view of a half portion of the volume imaging apparatus of FIG. 6, showing the mechanical structure for supporting coherent fiber bundles that couple images from the imaging faces to a CCD sensor and circuit board.

FIG. 9 is a perspective view of a half portion of the volume imaging apparatus of FIG. 6, showing the mechanical structure for adjusting the relative focal depths of the imaging faces, according to the invention.

FIG. 10 is an exploded perspective view of a half portion of the volume imaging apparatus of FIG. 6, showing the mechanical structure for supporting one of the coherent fiber bundles and for adjusting the relative focal depth of the corresponding image face, according to the invention.

FIG. 11 is a block diagram of a host computer, a timing sequence, remote sensors, and parallel autofocus circuits for focusing and generating and processing the volume image obtained from the volume imaging apparatus of the invention.

FIG. 12 is a timing diagram showing signal between the timing sequencer, the remote sensors, the autofocus circuits and the host computer of FIG. 11.

FIG. 13 is a block diagram for an automatic positioning module for controlling axial adjustment screws to position the image faces to predetermined relative positions.

FIG. 14 is a schematic view of an embodiment of a volume imaging apparatus for continuous imaging of a microscopic specimen using beamsplitter for providing images having adjustable focal depths, according to the invention

FIG. 15 is a schematic view of a beamsplitter for use in a volume imaging apparatus, according to the invention.

FIG. 16 is a schematic view of an embodiment of a volume imaging apparatus for continuous imaging a microscopic specimen using several sensors positioned at different axial and lateral locations each corresponding to unique focal planes.

25

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention provides apparatus, and related method, for an on-the-fly autofocus system for high-resolution image cytometry which keeps the image sharply focused during continuous stage travel. A volume camera 10 of the invention acquires an image volume by concurrent optical sectioning of the specimen 12 with a dedicated imaging array 14 as shown in FIG. 1. Imaging array

has multiple predetermined imaging faces 15 having adjustable optical path lengths to the focal planes 16 allowing simple adaptation to objectives 18 with different depths-of-field and magnifications. Automatically tracking focus with high precision over an area the size of a microscope slide is particularly 5 advantageous because even a slide having a thin, densely populated cell monolayer are imperfect in thickness.

The autofocus technique performs sharpness measurements on images acquired at a sequence of foci in parallel in order to extend autofocus to continuous scanning. The sequence of foci at which images are collected for 10 sharpness measurements represent optical planes in a magnified 3D specimen space. Normally, a 3D image is collected by sequentially moving the stage axially a small amount between planes in the stack of 2D images. However, it is also true that the magnified image of the specimen (i.e., the region near the image sensor) is a 3D representation of the specimen space. Image quality can degrade if the sensor 15 is placed too far from the nominal focus in this 3D space. For a Nikon 20x 0.75 NA Fluor objective (160 mm tube length), however, experimental MTF measurements have demonstrated no change in cutoff frequency over a range that altered the front focus of the objective (i.e., the focus in the specimen) by $\pm 50 \mu\text{m}$. Thus, multiple sensors 22 can be placed at different axial positions (z1-z9) in the 20 image space over quite a large range without reducing optical quality. These sensors can then concurrently acquire the optical sections that make up a volume image. The design of a dedicated imaging array (the volume camera) is presented along with a model for tracking focus during continuous scanning.

The volume camera 10 is created by placing image sensors at different foci 25 as shown in FIG. 1. In this configuration, the sensors may also be displaced laterally. When this adjacent placement is used, image (or focus index) registration is achieved through buffering.

Since axial magnification is approximately equal to the square of the lateral magnification, subresolution axial sampling of the specimen space 14 is 30 achieved easily. This configuration allows acquisition of axially displaced optical planes that lie near the normal detector position without degradation in optical

5 performance. The axially displaced detectors are line array sensors synchronized to the stage velocity 24. We used EG&G (Sunnyvale, CA) TD 1096 which are 1024 pixel x 96 line TDI CCD arrays with a 13 x 13 μm pixels. TDI cameras integrate in proportion to the number of lines to increase signal-to-noise and 10 sensitivity. The system was also designed to allow axial adjustment of the TDI sensors to obtain optimal axial sampling for objectives with different depths-of-field and magnifications.

10 The computational demand of calculating and adjusting focus dynamically is absorbed by an array of parallel autofocus circuits that measure the 3D image in real time.

15 A volume imaging apparatus having autofocus capabilities is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. (PCT Application No: WO 96/01438 published 1/18/96) titled AUTOFOCUS SYSTEM FOR SCANNING MICROSCOPY. The image processing and autofocus systems disclosed in the WO/091438 application may be applied to the present invention.

20 With reference to FIG. 2, the first slide location (a) shows the effect of a low signal when cells are absent. The second slide location (b) shows the image and focus index obtained with a cell monolayer. The third slide location (c) shows overlapping cells. The typical problems encountered in image cytometry correspond to differences in cell density across a slide. FIG. 2 (b) represents the 25 optimal densely populated cell monolayer and FIGS. 2 (a) and 2 (c) represent the opposite extremes of an area with no cells and one with many overlapping cells in a multilayer. The focus function curve of a low signal field (FIG. 2 (a)) is marked by a spurious maximum, a sharp sidelobe, and noisy background. The cell multilayer (FIG. 2 (c)) shows that the system might become confused by the multimodal focus response and track a sidelobe rather than the main best average focus peak. A system designed for high speed tracking of focus over a large area must work robustly even when there are too few or too many cells.

30 When performing automated cell scanning at high lateral resolutions, features such as cell pattern and texture can be important for cell classification, but the discriminatory power of these features is highly dependent on focus. For

accurate measurements from microscope images, high numerical aperture (NA) is preferred in order to obtain good lateral resolution. However, the depth of field is inversely proportional to the square of the NA and sharp focus becomes critical even at moderate NAs (≥ 0.5). With narrower depths-of-field, biological specimens 5 do not lie in a single focal plane across the slide and automated scanning for image cytometry must be accomplished by autofocus. Because higher resolutions also dramatically increase the amount of image data, the autofocus must be combined with rapid scanning.

The influence of focus in feature extraction is demonstrated by three 10 different images of the same object at different foci (a - c) in FIG. 3. The first image (a) was taken with the focus set to a position before the optimum focus position. The second image (b) was taken with the focus set to the optimum focus position. The third image (c) was taken with the focus set to a position after the optimum focus position. The differences in foci are less than the depth of field of 15 the objective. Each of the original digital images had the same post image-acquisition processing but the corresponding edge maps are different. There are also differences in internal pattern and these differences could cause cell classification errors, decrease repeatability, and increase subsequent diagnostic error. Additional processing can be applied to recover defocused images, but these 20 complex iterative algorithms are also slow and often cannot deblur without some error. Real-time autofocus that maintains image sharpness precisely, therefore, is advantageous over algorithmic correction techniques.

As shown in FIG. 4, the focus curve will follow the curvature of the specimen features as the slide is scanned. The horizontal sample size is 650 nm 25 and the focus measurement area is 312 μm (V) \times 325 μm (H) with a 20x 0.75 NA phase contrast objective. 85 focus positions form a single focus function curve for each slide position.

The focal planes 30 can be configured in a wide variety of predetermined relatives spacings. For example, as shown in FIG. 5A, the planes are configured 30 in a staggered arrow configuration. Further, as shown in FIG. 5B, the planes can be in a curved configuration with the planes at one end of the imaging planes

being more widely spaced in focal depth than the plane at the other end. Alternatively the imaging planes may be more widely spaced at the ends and more closely spaced in the middle, as shown in FIG. 5C. Likewise, the imaging planes may be configured in a V- or an inverted V-shape, as shown in FIG. 5D. As 5 shown in FIG. 5E, another configuration for the focal planes is a W shape.

One embodiment of a volume imaging apparatus 10 or camera of the invention is described with reference to Figs. 6-10. In this embodiment, images from adjacent imaging planes are transferred to separate detectors 32 by coherent fiberoptic bundles 34 having image faces 36 that are placed at predetermined 10 image plane locations. The mechanical structure of the volume camera allows for relative axial adjustment of each of the image faces. The volume camera has a bottom plate 38 that is mechanically coupled to a top plate 39 by four rigid support rods 40 that are fixed to the top and bottom plate roughly in the center of the area between the four rigid support rods. The top and bottom plates are shown 15 cutout from a rigid support box that holds them fixed in space.

The present embodiment of the invention has nine fiberoptic bundles, corresponding support structures and imaging sensors. The nine bundles allow placement of the image faces over a $2 \mu\text{m}$ range in $0.25 \mu\text{m}$ increments. More or fewer fiber bundles may be used and smaller or larger axial steps between the 20 image faces may be used in accordance with the invention.

Each coherent fiberoptic bundle is supported by a base plate 42. The base plate has closely fitting holes 44 that allow the base plate to slide along two of the rigid support rods. (FIG. 10) Each base plate also has a threaded hole 46 for receiving an adjustment screw 48-1 to 48-4. Each adjustment screw 48-1 to -4 has 25 80 turns per inch for making micrometer adjustments to the base plate position. All of the base plates, except the base plate adjacent to the top plate, also have a bearing hole 50 for receiving the end of one of the adjustment screws 48-1. The top plate includes a bearing hole and additional adjustment out for receiving the adjustment screw for adjusting the location of the top base plate with respect to the 30 top plate. The remaining adjustment screws 48-2 to -4 are configured between

base plates to allow adjustment to a variety of predetermined face plate configurations.

Each adjustment screw may be driven manually or automatically by a motor controller as discussed below with respect to FIG. 13. Generally, the 5 selected imaging 36 face configuration will correspond to a particular objective type and specimen 14 under examination.

The camera 10 includes CCD sensors 32 that are coupled to the imaging plane fiberoptically by bonding the imaging fiber bundles 34 (IFB) directly to the CCD devices. The fiber bundles translate the image plane to the surface of the 10 CCD. Each CCD sensor is coupled to a circuit board 50 that is attached to the corresponding base plate by a mounting bracket 52. The objective 18 lens projects the image of the specimen onto the entrance face of each IFB, and the bundle transmits the intensity distribution of this image to the other end face and onto the sensor. The branching shape of the array was devised with IFBs of the same 15 length so that image transmission properties are the same. The bend in the fiber is set to allow the cameras to fit and slide axially in a small camera package.

Axial sampling can be controlled by shifting the input fiber faces axially, and the lateral separation of the fiber bundles 34 produces delays between the acquisition of each field of view. The series of fiber bundles faces 36 can be 20 shifted to create a pattern at the imaging face (e.g. with a linear or 'v'-shaped staircase) to sample the image volume.

The system for implementing the autofocus adjustments and for processing the images received by the sensors 22 are described with respect to FIGS. 11 and 12.

25 A more detailed description of the image processing methods and the autofocus methods is described in U.S. Patent No. 5,790,710 and in U.S. Patent No. (PCT Application No. WO 96/01438).

The processing and control system 60 includes a host computer 62, a timing sequencer 64, parallel autofocus circuits 60 and the remote sensors 68.

30 The timing sequencer controls the video acquisition and the focus measurement by triggering the remote CCD sensors and setting the size of a focus

measurement virtual frame interval. A virtual frame is made of a number of lines to include in the focus measurement and the length of a window in each horizontal line. These values, number of lines and horizontal window duration, are programmable. A horizontal line is made of an active portion and a blank region.

5 The total length of this line is the integration time and is programmable. During the integration period the CCD sensor elements collects light from the projected image. The integration time value is a tradeoff between the speed and the specimen illumination conditions. The sections in the timing sequencer include:

- 10 a) Master Clock 70: Reference clock used to generate all logic timing signals
- b) Integration time 72: Times duration of a video line
- c) Line start 74: Pulse at the beginning of a new video line
- d) Frame size 76: Pulse at the end of a programmable number of lines
- e) Horizontal window 78: Signal part of the active video for focus measurement. Helps eliminates transients produced in the filters of the focus measurement circuit
- f) Driver 80, receiver 82: Used to send or receive the high-speed logic signal over/from large distances.

The remote sensors 68 generate the video signal of the volume camera.

20 The sections of the remote sensors include:

- a) Scan controller 84: Uses the local clock, or an external one, to generate the clock phases required by the CCD sensor. Also, signals to the exterior when the active portion of the video is occurring.
- b) Local clock 86: Reference clock of the CCD.
- c) TDI CCD 88: Sensor that converts the light to charge
- 25 d) Signal processor 90: programmable gain, and digitizer; process the output of the CCD create a direct high performance digital volume image that can be acquired and viewed independently. It also creates the analog video signal that is used by the focus measurement circuits.
- e) Receiver 92 and transmitter 94: Receive or send the high-speed logic signal from/over large distances.

The parallel autofocus circuits 66 perform the continuous focus measurement on several video inputs by using an array of autofocus circuits in parallel. Each autofocus circuit requires two logic signals from the timing sequencer 64: frame and window. The frame signals when to start a focus measurement interval and when to provide the recent measurement values to the host computer. The line window is a pulse that allows the focus measurement circuit to consider the video input for calculation. This pulse duration is valid during a portion of the active video. The sections of the autofocus circuits include:

5 a) Programmable delay and timing control 96: Delay the measurement and generate the control signals that the focus measurement circuit requires: Integrate, reset, and enable. Also provides an interrupt signal to the host computer 62 when a new focus calculation is ready.

10 b) Focus measurement circuit 98: Produces two output voltages that correspond to the focus index and the average illumination of the virtual video frame measured.

15 The logic control signal groups start, frame_start line_window and valid_video, are grouped in terms of its function and individual signals can be active independent of the other signals in the same group. The timing generator activates these so that camera boards can be started at different times if desired, and the focus measurement is synchronized to its corresponding remote sensor. An initial goal is to measure focus at the same spatial region (field of view), even though, the sensor may be displaced laterally and a buffer of the measured values is necessary to compensate for this delay.

20 FIG. 12 shows a timing diagram of the signals between the timing sequencer 64, the remote sensors 68, the autofocus circuits 66, and the host computer 62. After the Interrupt_1 pulse appears, the host computer reads the focus index FI_1 and the average illumination AI_1 values from the autofocus circuit 1. The same timing is valid for the other channels but they may appear 25 asynchronously. Digital auto-gain signals may also be provided as shown in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/796,196.

Much of the computational demand of calculating focus dynamically is absorbed by an array of parallel autofocus circuits 66 that measure the focus indices of each plane of the 3D image in real time. The block diagram of autofocus system is shown in FIG. 11. This system contains the CCD and 5 autofocus electronics. The output of this system (the focus indices) are read by the computer 62 which in turn adjusts the focus with a piezoelectric objective positioner (Polytec PI, Costa Mesa, CA). A high performance, low cost analog circuit that measures the focus index and the average illumination in real time has been modified for TDI line scan video and replicated for each CCD sensor in the 10 multiplanar array. A timing sequencer 64 works as the central controller of the parallel autofocus circuits 66 and the cameras 68 of the array, synchronizing focus measurement and image acquisition. The TDI signal consists of video for each line punctuated by horizontal blanks between lines. A line counter provides focus index timing previously provided by the vertical blanks of a video signal. The 15 sequencer 64, which sets the integration time of the CCDs, also contains a programmable line counter that creates a virtual frame to provide the focus index integration interval. The continuous focus measurement circuit 98 resets at the end of each virtual frame interval and generates an interrupt to the host computer 62 for A/D conversion, calculation of best focus, and piezoelectric refocusing.

20 With much of the autofocus computational burden born by the parallel autofocus circuits 66, the computer 62 can be programmed to execute almost any autofocus algorithm. The algorithm can preferentially weight present values of focus over past values and utilize predictors for upcoming focus indices. This is different from a conventional negative feedback loop. Because calculation of best 25 focus from sharpness measurements (or focus indices) does not require an iterative algorithm for comparison at successive trial positions, it can be carried out in real-time for rapid focus adjustment.

These rapid computational methods make the 10 ms response of the piezoelectric objective positioner the slowest component in the focus 30 measurement and update sequence. The volume camera and continuous autofocus system will calculate and update the focus position at a rate of 100 - 1000 Hz

during scanning. With a relatively flat specimen, the scanning speed ultimately may be limited by the video rate of the TDI cameras. With a programmable number of video lines in the virtual frame (focus measurement window) the combination of focus positioning response and the focus index signal-to-noise 5 ratio will determine the actual focus update frequency and the ultimate focus accuracy.

Experience with incremental scanning has shown that focus tracking can be lost when the focus test range is too narrow or a field is completely empty. As the virtual video frame is made smaller (a sub-region of the microscope FOV), 10 local details (e.g., cells as well as surface irregularities) can increase axial variations and accentuate gradients so as to demand faster focus positioning response during continuous stage movement. Conversely, with larger virtual video frames, areas of high slope are averaged and spatial gradients diminish in proportion to the number of video lines in the virtual frame. The parameters of the 15 test range can be determined for each specimen and objective lens completely, but void fields (with no image content for focus measurement) could still pose substantial problems. Recent experiments with the autofocus circuit of the invention, however, has shown that debris much smaller than a single cell is enough for accurate focus tracking. In combination with linear prediction, this 20 high focus measurement sensitivity allows accurate tracking over small areas that lack even small amounts of debris.

In order to set the volume camera parameters to maximize accuracy and tracking stability, the focus function curve (FIG. (2a-c bottom)) and depth of field may be used to guide in setting the spacing between optical sections. Additional 25 considerations for the spacing include the minimum spacing between optical sections that produces substantial differences in the sharpness measurements, and the range required to cover focus function width. The focus search range may be adapted to fit an appropriate portion of the focus function curve range and variations from specimen flatness. Focus function filter parameters (e.g., 30 bandwidth, central frequency, and attenuation) can also be adjusted to achieve the best focus function response.

The events of a scan with a volume camera consisting of N sensors are:

- a) At the end of each virtual frame, an interrupt signals the computer that a new focus measurement has been completed for one of the planes. The outputs of the autofocus circuits can be transmitted to the computer 5 asynchronously and buffered there prior to best focus calculation.
- b) All N focus measurements are acquired and buffered for one virtual frame.
- c) On each buffered set of data that corresponds to one virtual frame, best focus is calculated (e.g. using power-weighted average) and the lens 10 positioned to best focus.

The maximum time delay between the measurements corresponding to the same field of view is

$$\tau_d = \frac{V_f f_s (N-1)}{M * F_t},$$

where M is the magnification, f_s is the spatial sampling frequency of the 15 microscope-camera system, and F_t is the line rate of the CCD sensor, and V_f is the virtual video frame length given by

$$V_f = N_t D,$$

where N_t is the number the lines in a focus measurement window and D is the pixel size.

20 The lateral displacement of the sensors makes it possible for two or more sensors to sample the same, or nearly the same focal plane, if the slope of the specimen matches the slope sensor array. For this reason and other considerations, it may be that a simple linear staircase is not the best arrangement of the foci for the sensor array.

25 The framework of a simultaneous multiplanar image acquisition model that combines specimen and the volume camera characteristics has been developed to evaluate strategies for tracking focus. FIG. 4 shows the family of focus function curves for a single scan in one direction over a slide (with monolayers of NIH 3T3 cells). The ridge of this 3D family of curves corresponds

to the series of best foci in the scan. The shape of the focus response depends on the optical system settings and the specimen. The number of foci tested for autofocus in our previous experiments varied from 7 to 11, but the curves in FIG. 4 utilized 85 test foci to more accurately delineate the shapes of the focus function curves. These focus indices, collected from incremental scanning, create the virtual test specimen for testing various focus tracking algorithm.

The use of focus functions with points from many optical planes provides an efficient way to simulate any biological specimen by reducing the amount of data required to store long lateral sections through the specimen sample. This 10 specimen model will be useful primarily for focus assessment of the sample under observation. By comparison of the continuous scanning best foci with the incremental best foci, the performance of various algorithms and sensor positions can be measured.

Table 1 presents a matrix of focus measures that correspond to the 15 distribution of optical planes in the volume camera. These focus values and their coordinates (z, x) are used to reconstruct the focus function behavior of the specimen and to calculate best focus position for subsequent focus adjustment.

Table 1. Distribution of the volume camera focus measurement planes.

$F(Z, X_1)$	$F(Z, X_2)$...	$F(Z, X_n)$
$F(Z_j, X_1)$	$F(Z_k, X_2)$...	$F(Z_m, X_n)$
...
$F(Z_2, X_1)$	$F(Z_2, X_2)$...	$F(Z_2, X_n)$
$F(Z_1, X_1)$	$F(Z_1, X_2)$...	$F(Z_1, X_n)$

20 Where j, k, and m, which represent the number of axial positions in a specific field of view, do not necessarily correspond to equal spacing. $X_{n+1} > X_n$, but Z_n is not conditioned with respect to Z_{n-1} . The $F(Z, X)$ values represent the focus measure of imaging planes at axial position Z and lateral position X in the field of view. X represents the lateral spacing between sensors.

The number of planes, the spacing between them, and the search range required to track focus depend on the thickness of the specimen magnified onto the image volume, the slope of the specimen, and the speed of scanning. Therefore, the application and the specimen will determine the number, spacing, 5 and extent of the optical planes for best tracking performance.

Focus tracking can also be limited by the axial gradient of the specimen and its velocity. In FIG. 4, there is an initial z gradient in the best focus ridge that then flattens out at large x . If this gradient is too large, the system will not track focus at high speed. A second part of the mathematical model considers the lens 10 positioning response during tracking. If the velocity in the direction of stage motion is $V_s = dx/dt$ then it can be expressed in terms of system parameters by

$$V_s = \frac{F_t}{f_s}$$

The length of the specimen covered in a focus measurement interval is

$$\Delta x = x_{i+1} - x_i = \frac{N_t}{f_s},$$

15 where N_t is the number of lines in the virtual frame. The specimen gradient, $G = dz/dx$, must not be so large as to exceed the objective lens positioner response at a given stage velocity. Therefore, in a distance ΔX , the maximum specimen axial shift ΔZ that can be tracked accurately is approximated by combining equations 3 and 4 as

$$20 \quad |\Delta Z| \leq \frac{N_t Z_R}{F_t \tau_s}$$

where Z_R is the focus search range and τ_s is the settling time of the positioner. This last expression is a good approximation independent of possible errors in the best focus algorithm and positioning; that is, with assumption that calculated best focus equals true focus and that the piezoelectric objective 25 positioner is perfectly accurate. Table 2 shows the specimen detail that can be tracked accurately for some typical values. For these calculations more conservative τ_s of 20 ms was chosen (instead of the specified 10 ms for the piezoelectric positioner) in order to allow for the other possible errors.

Table 2. Specimen height and slope to tracked at different magnifications.

M	$\Delta Z(\mu m)$	$\Delta X(\mu m)$	$\Delta Z / \Delta X$	$1/f_s(\mu m)$
10X	10.6	41.6	0.25	1.20
20X	10.6	20.8	0.51	0.650
40X	10.6	10.4	1.02	0.325

Assuming typical values of $Z_R = 100 \mu m$, $\tau_s = 20 \text{ ms}$, F_t , LR = 15 KHz, and FS = 32 lines.

Autofocus accuracy depends on virtual field size and the speed of objective positioning: a large virtual frame reduces the accuracy in tracking the specimen, 5 and slow objective positioner response averages detail in the axial dimension.

The axial position of the image faces 36 of the fiber bundles 34 may be adjusted by an automatic positioning module 102 shown in FIG. 13. The host computer 62 is coupled to a motor controller 104 associated with each image face and sends signals to the motor controller indicating the desired position for the 10 corresponding image face. The motor controller actuates a motor 106 that is mechanically coupled to the corresponding adjustment screw 48. As shown in FIGS 6 - 10, the adjustment screws control the axial position of the image faces of the fiber bundles.

FIGS. 14 and 15 shows a volume image apparatus 10', according to the 15 invention, that uses beamsplitters 112 to obtain the multiple planes 114 in the image volume. In this embodiment, the optical path lengths (z1 to z4) to each sensor 116 are different (by ΔZ) and can be adjusted by moving the sensors themselves. The imaging apparatus has three beamsplitters for generating four image paths. The beamsplitters are 50% splitters with 50% of the light being 20 reflected from the surface of the beamsplitter and with 50% of the light being transmitted through the beamsplitter. The first beamsplitter separates collimated light from the microscope optics 118 into two paths. Each of the two paths are split by a respective beamsplitter generating a total of four paths. Each of the four paths could be further split into eight or more paths in accordance with the 25 invention.

Each beamsplitter 112 splits the path once, and the total number of beamsplitters required depends on the number of planes of acquisition. In some paths, an additional mirror (not shown) will be required to maintain identical mirroring at the sensors.

5 Another embodiment of a volume camera 10" of the invention is shown in FIG. 16. The specimen 122 is magnified by the objective lens assembly 124 and multiple planes within the specimen slide are in focus simultaneously. The lens assembly magnifies the specimen into the image space 126, where it is captured by several sensors positioned at different axial and lateral locations. Each sensor
10 in the array collects a unique focal plane and each corresponding image contains different in-focus and out-of-focus information.

While the foregoing has been with reference to specific embodiments of the invention, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that these are illustrations only and that changes in these embodiments can be made without
15 departing from the principles of the invention, the scope of which is defined by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. Apparatus for generating a volume image, comprising:
a plurality of imaging devices positioned at adjacent image planes wherein
at least one image device is configured to be adjustably displaced at a
5 predetermined depth of focus.


- 10 2. Apparatus for generating a volume image as defined in claim 1, further
comprising an image forming device coupled to the plurality of imaging devices
for forming a plurality of electronic representations representing images at
respective image planes of the plurality of image planes.

- 15 3. Apparatus for generating a volume image as defined in claim 1, further
comprising a focusing device that selects one of the imaging devices associated
with an optimum depth of focus for generating an image representation of an
image object of the volume image.

- 20 4. A method for volume imaging using a plurality of imaging devices
positioned at adjacent image planes wherein at least one image device is
configured to be adjustably displaced at a predetermined depth of focus, the
method comprising the steps of:
orienting the plurality of imaging devices such that the imaging devices
observe an image object in an image object plane;
using the plurality of imaging devices, obtaining a plurality of images of
the image object, each image of the plurality of images obtained in a respective
25 imaging device;
converting the plurality of images into a plurality of electronic image
representations; and
storing the plurality of electronic image representations.

5. A method for volume imaging as defined in claim 4, wherein the image object is continuously imaged by translating the image object with respect to the imaging devices in a direction parallel to the image object plane.

5 6. A method for volume imaging as defined in claim 5, wherein the electronic representations are divided into image frames in response to a counter signal.






FIG. 2











FIG. 3








FIG. 7




FIG. 8





FIG. 9






FIG. 11-1




FIG. 11-2




FIG. 12




FIG. 13





FIG. 15

FIG. 14






FIG. 16

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Item 1 Application No
PCT/US 99/23123

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 G02B21/00 G02B21/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 G02B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 98 31150 A (ARAV) 16 July 1998 (1998-07-16) page 5, line 16 – line 23; figure 2 page 6, line 10 –page 7, line 15	1,2,4
A	WO 96 12981 A (KLA INSTRUMENTS CORPORATION) 2 May 1996 (1996-05-02) page 1, line 9 – line 13 page 4, line 2 – line 5 page 4, line 21 – line 29; claims 13,20 page 6, line 37 – line 38	6
A	WO 96 01438 A (PRICE) 18 January 1996 (1996-01-18) cited in the application page 8, line 1 – line 5; figure 1	3
A		5
		–/–

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the International filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority, claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the International search

22 February 2000

Date of mailing of the International search report

28/02/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA
European Patent Office, P.B. 5018 Patentsteen 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl.
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Soulaire, D

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat	Application No
PCT/US 99/23123	

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 790 710 A (PRICE) 4 August 1998 (1998-08-04) cited in the application column 10, line 29 - line 54; figure 6	6
A	WO 98 35256 A (PRICE) 13 August 1998 (1998-08-13) abstract & US 5 995 143 A (PRICE) cited in the application	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internal Application No
PCT/US 99/23123

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9831150 A	16-07-1998	AU 5493998 A EP 0951778 A		03-08-1998 27-10-1999
WO 9612981 A	02-05-1996	JP 8211297 A		20-08-1996
WO 9601438 A	18-01-1996	AT 177539 T AT 184113 T AU 2960395 A CA 2192986 A DE 69508248 D DE 69508248 T DE 69511903 D EP 0769159 A EP 0834758 A ES 2131838 T JP 10502466 T US 5790710 A US 5932872 A		15-03-1999 15-09-1999 25-01-1996 18-01-1996 15-04-1999 04-11-1999 07-10-1999 23-04-1997 08-04-1998 01-08-1999 03-03-1998 04-08-1998 03-08-1999
US 5790710 A	04-08-1998	US 5932872 A AT 177539 T AT 184113 T AU 2960395 A CA 2192986 A DE 69508248 D DE 69508248 T DE 69511903 D EP 0769159 A EP 0834758 A ES 2131838 T JP 10502466 T WO 9601438 A US 5548661 A US 5856665 A		03-08-1999 15-03-1999 15-09-1999 25-01-1996 18-01-1996 15-04-1999 04-11-1999 07-10-1999 23-04-1997 08-04-1998 01-08-1999 03-03-1998 18-01-1996 20-08-1996 05-01-1999
WO 9835256 A	13-08-1998	US 5995143 A AU 5909998 A		30-11-1999 26-08-1998